

Optimality and relaxation

Jesper Larsen

Jens Clausen

Informatics and Mathematical Modelling

Technical University of Denmark

2800 Kgs. Lyngby – Denmark

Email: jla@imm.dtu.dk



Optimality and Relaxation

Basic solution approach to any IP or COP:

$$z = \max\{c(x) : x \in X \subseteq Z^n\}$$

- ◇ Find lower bound (LB) \underline{z} s.t. $\underline{z} \leq z$
- ◇ Find upper bound (UB) \bar{z} s.t. $\bar{z} \geq z$

Now clearly $\bar{z} \geq \underline{z}$. Furthermore we have $\bar{z} = \underline{z} = z$ and we are done.

General approach



$$\underline{z}_1 < \underline{z}_2 < \underline{z}_3 < \dots \leq z \leq \dots \bar{z}_3 < \bar{z}_2 < \bar{z}_1$$

If $\bar{z}_t - \underline{z}_s < \epsilon$ then we may stop (and if $\bar{z}_t - \underline{z}_s = 0$ we have found an optimum).

Bounds

How do we actually find (upper and lower) bounds?

- ◇ **Primal bounds:** (lower bound for a max problem).
Every feasible solution $x^* \in X$ is a lower bound.
- ◇ **Dual bounds:** (upper bound for a max problem). Most important approach is by “relaxation”, that is, replace the original problem by an simpler optimization problem whose value is at least as large as z .

Relaxation

- A problem (RP) $z^R = \max\{f(x) : x \in T \subseteq R^n\}$ is a **relaxation** of (IP) $z = \max\{c(x) : x \in X \subseteq R^n\}$ if:
 - ◇ (i) $X \subseteq T$
 - ◇ (ii) for all $x \in X$: $c(x) \leq f(x)$

Relaxation

1. Linear Programming relaxation
2. Combinatorial relaxation
3. Lagrangian relaxation

Linear Programming relaxation

- For the integer program $\max\{cx : x \in P \cap Z^n\}$ with the formulation $P = \{x \in R_+^n : Ax \leq b\}$ the **linear programming relaxation** is $\max\{cx : x \in P\}$.
- **Proposition 2.3:**
 - ◇ (i) If a relaxation RP is infeasible, the original problem is infeasible.
 - ◇ (ii) Let x^* be an optimal solution to RP. If $x^* \in X$ and $f(x^*) = c(x^*)$ then x^* is an optimal solution to IP.

Combinatorial Relaxations

Whenever the relaxed problem is a combinatorial optimization problem we speak of a **combinatorial optimization**.

Lagrangian Relaxation

Consider an integer programming problem:

$$\max cx$$

$$Ax \leq b$$

$$x \in X$$

Now assume that if we dropped $Ax \leq b$ the problem

$$\max cx$$

$$x \in X$$

would be “easy”.

Now go one step further and add a penalty term (to the objective function) that is “active” when $Ax \leq b$ is violated, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max cx + u(b - Ax) \\ & x \in X \end{aligned}$$

$z(u) = \max\{cx + u(b - Ax) : x \in X\}$ is called the **Lagrangian relaxation** of $z = \max\{cx : Ax \leq b, x \in X\}$.

Aside: How to spell Lagrangian

- ◇ Some spell it “Lagrangean”.
- ◇ Some spell it “Lagrangian”.
- ◇ Letting the one and only Google decide we get 467000 hits for Lagrangian and 12500 hits for Lagrangean. (12 Feb. 2004)
- ◇ So Lagrangian wins!!

Duality

- ◇ The two problems $z = \max\{c(x) : x \in X\}$ and $w = \min\{w(u) : u \in U\}$ form a **(weak-)dual pair** if $c(x) \leq w(u)$ for all $x \in X, u \in U$.
- ◇ If $z = w$, that is, there exists $x^* \in X$ and $u^* \in U$ s.t. $c(x^*) = w(u^*)$ they form a **(strong-)dual pair**.

Linear programming relaxations immediately leads to a weak dual.

- ◇ The integer program $z = \max\{c(x) : Ax \leq b, x \in Z_+^n\}$ and the linear program $w^{LP} = \min\{ub : uA \geq c, u \in R_+^m\}$ form a weak dual pair.
- ◇ Suppose that IP and D are a weak-dual pair.
 - ★ (i) If D is unbounded, IP is infeasible.
 - ★ (ii) If $x^* \in X$ and $u^* \in U$ satisfy $c(x^*) = w(u^*)$ then x^* is optimal for IP and u^* is optimal for D.

Greedy algorithms

- ◇ A greedy algorithm obtains an optimal solution to a problem by making a sequence of choices. For each decision point in the algorithm, the choice that seems best at the moment is chosen.
- ◇ This strategy does *not* always produce an optimal solution, but sometimes it does.