

Combinatorial Optimization II (DM209) — Ugeseddel 2

Handout material in week 5 S. Fortune, J. Hopcroft and J. Wyllie, The directed subgraph homeomorphism problem, Theoretical computer science **10** (1980) 111–121

Stuff covered in week 5:

- Schrijver chapter 8 (see also PS Chapter 13).
- BJK pages 476-482, 482-486 and the hand-out paper by Fortune, Hopcroft and Wyllie on the subgraph homeomorphism problem.
- I also showed how the polynomial algorithm for the k-path problem for acyclic digraphs can be used to solve the directed subgraph homeomorphism problem for acyclic digraphs, that is: Given a fixed acyclic digraph P an input acyclic digraph D and a 1-1 mapping $h : V(P) \rightarrow V(D)$; is it possible to extend h such that arcs of P are mapped to internally vertex disjoint paths in D ?
- I gave a proof that the following problem is NP-complete: Given a strongly connected digraph D ; does it contain a spanning strongly connected eulerian subdigraph?

Lectures in week 6: Note that there is no lecture monday Feb. 4th so we meet Tuesday and Thursday.

- Schrijver 9.1-9.2.
- Schrijver 9.5
- Possibly also more NP-completeness proofs using the (s, t) -path construction. See the paper “Arc-disjoint spanning sub(di)graphs in Digraphs” on the course page (the proof of Theorem 1.5).
- Exercises:
 - Look at the problems in Proposition 9.2.1. in BJK and show that these problems are equivalent (from a computational point of view, that is, if one is polynomial then so are all the others).
 - Argue that the 2-path problem is NP-complete for digraphs of maximum out-degree (number of arcs out of a vertex) 2. Hint: modify the construction in the NP-completeness proof on pages 480-481 slightly.
 - Give a linear time algorithm for the following problem: Given an acyclic digraph D and distinct vertices s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k of D , decide whether D contains a path P starting in s_1 , ending in s_k and which contains all of the vertices s_2, s_3, \dots, s_{k-1} in that order. Does the problem become more difficult if we do not require a specific order on s_2, s_3, \dots, s_{k-1} but just that they are on P ?
- 2-Satisfiability. Khuller Section 30, BJK Section 1.10.

1 Notes on finding subdivisions for (di)graphs in (acyclic di)graphs

Theorem 1 (Robertson and Seymour, 1995) For every fixed natural number k there is an algorithm of complexity $O(n^3)^1$ for deciding for a given input graph G and distinct vertices $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ of G whether G has vertex-disjoint paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k such that P_i is a (s_i, t_i) -path.

A **subdivision** of a graph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ of G and a mapping of H to G' with the property that its is 1-1 on the vertices of H and every edge $e = uv \in E_H$ is mapped to a path P_{uv} from $f(u)$ to $f(v)$ such that every vertex of $P_{uv} - \{f(u), f(v)\}$ has degree 2 in G' (we replace the edge uv by a path in G' and no two paths corresponding to different edges of H intersect except possibly at their ends). This definition also makes sense if H has loops as such a loop at u corresponds to a cycle through $f(u)$ in G' . A subdivision of a digraph is defined analogously.

Corollary 1 For every graph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ there exists a polynomial algorithm A_H which for a given input graph $G = (V, E)$ decides whether G contains a subdivision of H .

¹The constant here depends heavily on k : the complexity is $O(f(k)n^3)$ where $f(k)$ grows VERY fast in k .

Proof: Let $H = (V_H, E_H)$ be given and assume first that we have fixed a 1-1 mapping $f : V_H \rightarrow V$. If there is an edge $uv \in E_H$ such that $f(u)f(v)$ is an edge in G (possibly $u = v$ and then $f(u)f(u)$ is a loop in G), then we can use this edge to realize the path corresponding to the edge uv and consider H minus this edge and G minus the edge $f(u)f(v)$. Hence we can first trim off (select) such pairs and then assume that $f(V_H)$ (the set of images of V_H) is an independent set in G .

Fix an ordering of the edges around each vertex in H : if u has k neighbours then we label these $v_{u,1}, v_{u,2}, \dots, v_{u,k}$ (notice that the same vertex gets many different labels, one for each of its neighbours in V_H). Clearly for a given edge $e = uv \in E_H$ this gives two labels l_{uv} and l_{vu} (the number it has in u 's labelling and in v 's labelling). Now consider the graph G_H that we obtain from G by replacing each vertex $f(u)$ by $d_H(u)$ copies, that is, replace u by an independent set $F(u) = \{f(u)^1, f(u)^2, \dots, f(u)^{d_H(u)}\}$ on $d_H(u)$ vertices and join each of these to all neighbours of $f(u)$ in G .

We claim that now G contains a H -subdivision G' where the vertices of H are $\{f(u) | u \in V_H\}$ if and only if G_H contains a collection of disjoint paths $\{P_{uv} | uv \in E_H\}$ where P_{uv} starts in $f(u)^{l_{uv}}$ and ends in $f(v)^{l_{vu}}$. This is easy to see: if the paths exist in G_H then we obtain G' by contracting (identifying) each set $F(u)$ to the single vertex $f(u)$. Conversely, if we are given a subdivision G' of H then we obtain the paths by splitting up each $f(u)$ into $d_H(u)$ distinct vertices. Thus it follows from Theorem 1 that for a fixed 1-1 mapping of $V(H)$ to $V(G)$ we can decide in time $O(n^3)$ whether this mapping extends to a subdivision of H in G . Thus, in polynomial time, we can check for all the $\binom{|V(G)|}{|V(H)|}$ 1-1 mappings of $V(H)$ to $V(G)$ to see whether at least one extends to a homeomorphism of H to G in polynomial time (H is fixed so its size is a constant). \diamond

Theorem 2 (Fortune, Hopcroft and Wyllie, 1980) *For any fixed natural number k there exists a polynomial algorithm for deciding whether a given acyclic digraph $D = (V, A)$ with specified vertices $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ has vertex-disjoint paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k such that P_i is a (s_i, t_i) -path.*

Corollary 2 *For every acyclic digraph $H = (V_H, A_H)$ there exists a polynomial algorithm for deciding whether a given acyclic digraph $D = (V, A)$ contains a subdivision of H .*

Proof: As above it is sufficient to show that we can decide in polynomial time whether a fixed 1-1 mapping of $V(H)$ to $V(D)$ extends to a homeomorphism of H to D so we assume below that a 1-1 mapping of $V(H)$ to $V(G)$ is given.

As above we may assume that the vertices of H are mapped to an independent set in D (if $f(u)f(v)$ is an arc and $uv \in A_H$ then use $f(u)f(v)$ to realize that path and delete the arc uv from A_H . If $f(u)f(v)$ is an arc of D and uv is not an arc of A_H , then we can never use the arc $f(u)f(v)$ in a homeomorphism (because paths must be internally disjoint) and hence we can delete the arc $f(u)f(v)$ from D without changing the problem).

For each vertex $u \in V_H$ fix an ordering of the arcs entering u and an ordering of the arcs leaving u : We label the $d_H^-(u)$ in-neighbours of u $v_{u,1}^-, v_{u,2}^-, \dots, v_{u,d_H^-(u)}^-$ and we label the $d_H^+(u)$ out-neighbours of u by $v_{u,1}^+, v_{u,2}^+, \dots, v_{u,d_H^+(u)}^+$. As in the proof above, for a given arc $e = uv \in A_H$ this gives two labels l_{uv}^+ and l_{uv}^- (the number it has in u 's out-labelling and in v 's in-labelling). Given the 1-1 mapping $f : V_H \rightarrow V(G)$ we make a new acyclic digraph G_H by replacing each vertex $f(u)$, $u \in V_H$ by two sets $I_{f(u)} = \{v_{u,1}^-, v_{u,2}^-, \dots, v_{u,d_H^-(u)}^-\}$ and $O_{f(u)} = \{v_{u,1}^+, v_{u,2}^+, \dots, v_{u,d_H^+(u)}^+\}$ and joining every in-neighbour x of $f(u)$ in G to every vertex y in $I_{f(v)}$ by an arc $x \rightarrow y$ and every vertex p of $O_{f(v)}$ to every out-neighbour q of $f(v)$ in G (it is possible that one of the sets $I_{f(v)}, O_{f(v)}$ is empty in which case we add no arcs corresponding to that set).

Now it is easy to show that D contains a subdivision of H if and only if D_H contains vertex disjoint paths $\{P_{uv} | uv \in A_H\}$ where P_{uv} starts in l_{uv}^+ and ends in l_{uv}^- . \diamond