

**DM528: Combinatorics, Probability and Randomized Algorithms —  
Ugeseddel 5**

**Lecture Monday December 6, 2010:**

- Kleinberg and Tardós: Sections 13.1-13.3. This is in the red notes

**Lecture Friday December 10, 2010:**

- Kleinberg and Tardós: Sections 13.4-13.5, 13.9.

**Exercises Wednesday December 8, 2010:**

- Left over exercises from previous weekly notes.
- Section 7.5: 6, 8, 14, 24, 26.
- Section 7.6: 2, 4, 6, 22
- 2003.13.5 page 2
- 2005.06.6 page 12. Hint: You can use indicator random variables to estimate the number of times a bit shifts.

**Second obligatory assignment** Has been available on the course page since November 29.

**Notes on inclusion-exclusion.**

- A probability version of inclusion-exclusion:

**Theorem 0.1** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be events in a probability space and denote by  $S_k$  the following sum*

$$S_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} p(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}).$$

*Then we have*

$$p(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = S_1 - S_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} S_n$$

**Proof:** We give a proof using indicator random variables. For a given event  $A$  and element  $s$  in the sample space, the random variable  $I_A(s)$  equals 1 if  $s \in A$  (e.g. If  $S$  is the set of outcomes of rolling a pair of dice, then  $s$  is a possible outcome, say  $s = (3, 5)$ , so if  $A_1$  is the event that one of the die show an even number and  $A_2$  the event that the sum of the dices is even, then  $I_{A_1}(s) = 0$  and  $I_{A_2}(s) = 1$ ). The usefulness of indicator random variables comes from the fact that  $E(I_A) = p(A)$ . This is seen as follows:

$$E(I_A) = \sum_{s \in S} I_A(s)p(s) = \sum_{s \in A} p(s)1 + \sum_{s \in \bar{A}} p(s)0 = p(A).$$

First we reformulate Theorem 1 page 503 in Rosen as the following result about indicator random variables:

$$I_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}: |I|=k\}} I_{A_I}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_I = A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}$  when  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$

Then take expected value on both sides and use linearity of expectation:

$$E(I_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}: |I|=k\}} E(I_{A_I}), \quad (2)$$

Now use that the expected value of the indicator function  $I_A$  is  $p(A)$ :

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}: |I|=k\}} p(A_I), \quad (3)$$

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} S_k, \quad (4)$$

We can also prove (1) by observing that the following equality holds for all  $s \in S$ :

$$0 = (I_A(s) - I_{A_1}(s))(I_A(s) - I_{A_2}(s)) \dots (I_A(s) - I_{A_n}(s)), \quad (5)$$

where  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . To see this simply observe that both sides evaluate to 0 when  $s \notin A$  and if  $s \in A_i$  for some  $i$  then the term  $(I_A(s) - I_{A_i}(s))$  is zero. Now we get (1) by expanding (5). Here we use that  $I_A^k(s) = I_A(s)$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and similarly for all  $A_i$ 's. You should try to make this calculation to see that you really get (1).

- At the lecture on November 24 I covered the following stuff on the chromatic polynomial of a graph. Let  $t$  be a positive integer. A  **$t$ -colouring** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is any mapping  $f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . Hence a graph on  $n$  vertices has  $t^{|V|}$  different  $t$  colourings. We say that a  $t$ -colouring  $f$  of  $G$  is a **proper  $t$ -colouring** if  $f(u) \neq f(v)$  whenever  $uv \in E$ , that is,  $uv$  is an edge of  $G$ .

The **chromatic polynomial of a graph**  $G = (V, E)$ , denoted  $P_G(t)$  is the number of proper  $t$  colourings of  $G$ . For a given graph  $G = (V, E)$  and an edge  $e = uv \in E$  we denote by  $G - e$  the graph we obtain by deleting the edge  $e$  from  $G$ , that is,  $G' = (V, E \setminus \{e\})$ . We also denote by  $G/e$  the graph we obtain by **contracting** the edge  $e$ , that is, we delete the edge  $uv$ , identify  $u$  and  $v$  into one new vertex  $w$  and replace all edges in  $G$  of the kind  $xy$  where  $x \in V - \{u, v\}$  and  $y \in \{u, v\}$  by the edge  $xw$ .

**Proposition 0.2** For every natural number  $t$  and every graph  $G = (V, E)$  we have

$$P_G(t) = P_{G-e}(t) - P_{G/e}(t), \quad (6)$$

where  $e$  is an arbitrary edge of  $G$ .

**Proof:** We show that  $P_{G-e}(t) = P_G(t) + P_{G/e}(t)$ . This follows from the sum rule: If  $e = uv$ , then the set of proper colourings of  $G - e$  can be divided into those that colour  $u$  and  $v$  with different colours and those that colour them by the same colour. This shows that  $P_{G-e}(t) \leq P_G(t) + P_{G/e}(t)$ . On the other hand, every proper  $t$ -colouring of  $G$  is clearly also a proper  $t$ -colouring of  $G - e$  and given any proper  $t$ -colouring of  $G/e$  we get a proper  $t$ -colouring of  $G - e$  by undoing the contraction and deleting the edge  $uv$ . Thus  $P_{G-e}(t) \geq P_G(t) + P_{G/e}(t)$ .  $\diamond$

**Theorem 0.3** *Let  $G$  be obtained from two distinct complete graphs on 3 vertices by identifying one vertex from the first with one vertex in the second, that is,  $G = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $E = \{12, 13, 23, 34, 35, 45\}$ . Then  $P_G(t) = t(t-1)^2(t-2)^2$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $e = 45$ . Then  $H = G - e$  has edges  $\{12, 13, 23, 34, 35\}$  and  $W = G/e$  is isomorphic to the graph vertex set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{12, 13, 23, 34\}$ . If we delete the edge 35 from  $H$  we get a graph which is  $W$  plus one extra vertex connected to nothing. Hence  $P_H(t) = (t-1)P_W(t)$ . To find  $P_W(t)$  we consider the edge 34. Deleting 34 from  $W$  gives us the complete graph  $K_3$  on 3 vertices plus an extra edge connected to nothing. Hence  $P_W(t) = (t-1)P_{K_3}(t)$ . Clearly  $P_{K_3}(t) = t(t-1)(t-2)$  so  $P_W(t) = t(t-1)^2(t-2)$ . Inserting this above we get  $P_H(t) = t(t-1)^3(t-2)$ . Finally we have

$$P_G(t) = P_H(t) - P_W(t) = t(t-1)^3(t-2) - t(t-1)^2(t-2) = t(t-1)^2(t-2)^2.$$

$\diamond$

We can also prove Theorem 0.3 via the principle of inclusion-exclusion as we show below. Note that this is more cumbersome but still it illustrates the usefulness of this counting tool.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6$  be an ordering of the six edges of  $G$  and let the event  $A_i$  be that both endvertices of  $A_i$  get the same colour. Use  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  for the number of events in which  $j$  of the events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_6$  occur. That is,  $N_1$  is the number of  $t$ -colourings where precisely one of the edges has both endvertices coloured with the same colour,  $N_2$  is the number of  $t$ -colourings where precisely two of the edges have both endvertices coloured with the same colour, etc. We wish to find the number of proper  $t$ -colourings which, according to the principle of including and excluding, is the same as the number

$$N(A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_6) = N - \sum_{i=1}^6 (-1)^i N_i. \quad (7)$$

$N_1$ :  $N_1 = 6t^4$  since the two endvertices of some edge must get the same colour ( $t$  choices) and the other 3 vertices can get any colour. We can choose the special edge in  $\binom{6}{1} = 6$  ways.

$N_2$ :  $N_2 = 15t^3$  as we can choose the two special edges in  $\binom{6}{2} = 15$  ways and each of these have  $t^3$  possible colourings (if the special edges cover 3 vertices we have  $t$  choices for these and  $t$  for each of the remaining 2 vertices. If they cover 4 vertices then we have  $t$  choices for each of the two edges and  $t$  choices for the last vertex).

$N_3$ :  $N_3 = 18t^2 + 2t^3$ . This is seen as follows: there are  $\binom{6}{3} = 20$  different ways to select the 3 edges where both ends will be coloured the same. If these 3 edges form one of the 2 triangles we have  $t$  choices for these vertices and  $t$  for each of the last two vertices. On the other hand if the 3 edges cover 4 vertices all these vertices must get the same colour ( $t$  choices) and the remaining vertex also has  $t$  choices. If the 3 edges cover all vertices then there must be two connected components in the graph consisting of the 5 vertices and these 3 edges so again we get  $t^2$  colourings.

$N_4$ :  $N_4 = 9t + 6t^2$ . This is seen as follows: there are  $\binom{6}{4} = 15$  different ways to select the 4 edges where both ends will be coloured the same. If these edges cover 4 vertices of  $G$  then it is the graph  $W$  above and there are 4 copies of this in  $G$ . These vertices get one of  $t$  colours and the remaining vertex also has  $t$  choices. If the 4 edges cover all vertices but not in a connected way, then they form a triangle and the opposite edge from the other triangle. There are 2 of these and they can also be coloured in  $t^2$  ways. The remaining possibility is that the 4 edges form a spanning tree of  $G$  and hence there are  $t$  choices.

$N_5$ :  $N_5 = 6t$  since there are  $\binom{6}{5} = 6$  ways of choosing the 5 edges where both ends will be coloured the same. They always give a connected spanning subgraph of  $G$ .

$N_6$ :  $N_6 = t$  this is clear.

Inserting in (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_6) &= t^5 - 6t^4 + 15t^3 - [18t^2 + 2t^3] + [9t + 6t^2] - 6t + t \\
 &= t^5 - 6t^4 + 13t^3 - 12t^2 + 4t \\
 &= t(t-1)^2(t-2)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$