

Alternative formulations

Jesper Larsen

Jens Clausen

Informatics and Mathematical Modelling

Technical University of Denmark

2800 Kgs. Lyngby – Denmark

Email: {jla,jc}@imm.dtu.dk



Uncapacitated Facility Location (UFL)

Given a set of *potential* depots $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and a set $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of clients, suppose there is a fixed cost f_j associated with the use of depot j , and a transportation cost c_{ij} if all of client i 's order is delivered from depot j .

The problem is to decide which depots to open, and which depots serves each client so as to minimize the sum of fixed and transportation cost.

Uncapacitated Lot-sizing (ULS)

The problem is to decide on a production plan for an n -period horizon for a single product. The basic model can be viewed as having data:

- f_t is the fixed cost of producing in period t .
- p_t is the unit production cost in period t .
- h_t is the unit storage cost in period t .
- d_t is the demand in period t .

- ◇ A subset of R^n described by a finite set of linear constraints $P = \{x \in R^n : Ax \leq b\}$ is a **polyhedron**.
- ◇ A polyhedron $P \subset R^{n+p}$ is a **formulation** for a set $X \subset Z^n \times R^p$ if and only if $X = (Z^n \times R^p) \cap P$.

- ◇ Given a set $x \subset R^n$ the **convex hull of X** , denoted $\text{conv}(X)$ is defined as:

$$\text{conv}(X) = \left\{ x : x = \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i x^i, \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, t \text{ over all finite subsets } \{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^t\} \text{ of } X \right\}$$

- ◇ **Proposition:** $\text{conv}(X)$ is a polyhedron
- ◇ **Proposition:** The extreme points of $\text{conv}(X)$ all lie in X .

Ideal formulation

The ideal formulation in most cases consists of an enormous (exponential) number of inequalities needed to describe $\text{conv}(X)$, and there is no simple characterization of them.

Instead we could rather ask:

Given two formulations P_1 and P_2 for X when can we say that one is better than the other?

Given a set $X \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ and two formulations P_1 and P_2 for X , P_1 is a **better formulation** than P_2 if $P_1 \subset P_2$.

Projection

- ◇ first formulation: $\min\{cx : x \in P \cap Z^n\}$ with $P \subset R^n$.
- ◇ second formulation: $\min\{cx : (x, w) \in Q \cap (Z^n \times R^p)\}$ with $Q \subset R^n \times R^p$.
- ◇ Given a polyhedron $Q \subset R^n \times R^p$ the **projection of Q** onto the subspace R^n , denoted $\text{proj}_x Q$ is defined as:

$$\text{proj}_x Q = \{x \in R^n : (x, w) \in Q \text{ for some } w \in R^p\}$$