

# Rigidity of Graphs and Frameworks

Bill Jackson\*

## Abstract

The first reference to the rigidity of frameworks in the mathematical literature occurs in a problem posed by Euler in 1776. Consider a polyhedron  $P$  in 3-space. We view  $P$  as a ‘panel-and-hinge framework’ in which the faces are 2-dimensional panels and the edges are 1-dimensional hinges. The panels are free to move continuously in 3-space, subject to the constraints that the shapes of the panels and the adjacencies between them are preserved, and that the relative motion between pairs of adjacent panels is a rotation about their common hinge. The polyhedron  $P$  is rigid if every such motion results in a polyhedron which is congruent to  $P$ . Euler’s conjecture was that every polyhedron is rigid.

The conjecture was verified for the case when  $P$  is convex by Cauchy in 1813. Gluck showed in 1975 that it is true when  $P$  is ‘generic’ i.e. there are no algebraic dependencies between the coordinates of the vertices of  $P$ . Connelly finally disproved the conjecture in 1982 by constructing a polyhedron which is not rigid.

I will describe results and open problems concerning the rigidity of various other types of frameworks. I will be mostly concerned with the generic case for which the problem of characterizing rigidity reduces to a problem which depends only on the incidence graph of the framework.

---

\*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, England. e-mail: b.jackson@qmul.ac.uk.