DM553 Lecture 14 — DM508 Lecture 5

Lecture, April 27

We showed that HAMILTONIAN CIRCUIT is NP-Complete. Then we started on lower bounds from section 2.4 in the notes, covering the basic ideas about information theoretic lower bound and the worst case lower bound for sorting by comparisons. (Part of this is also in section 8.1 of CLRS.)

Lecture, April 29

We will finish section 2.4 from the notes, covering the average case lower bound for sorting by comparisons. Then, we will begin on sections 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5 from the notes.

Lecture, May 4

We will finish sections 3.3 and 3.5 from the notes if we haven’t already. Then we will being on approximation algorithms from chapter 35 of CLRS.

Problems to be discussed in U142 on May 5

1. Do problem 3.10 from page 141 of the notes.

2. Prove a lower bound for merging two lists of lengths $n$ and $m$ which meets the upper bound of $n + m - 1$ (assume $n = m$).

3. From the following pages of that book by Baase:

   Consider the problem of determining if a bit string of length $n$ contains two consecutive zeros. The basic operation is to examine a position in the string to see if it is a 0 or a 1. For each $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ either give an adversary strategy to force any algorithm to examine every bit, or give an algorithm that solves the problem by examining fewer than $n$ bits.

   and

   a. You are given $n$ keys and an integer $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq n$. Give an efficient algorithm to find any one of the $k$ smallest keys. (For example, if $k = 3$, the algorithm may provide the first-, second- or third-smallest key. It need not know the exact rank of the key it outputs.) How many
4. From Baase’s textbook: Suppose $L_1$ and $L_2$ are arrays, each with $n$ keys sorted in ascending order.
   a. Devise an $O((\log n)^2)$ algorithm (or better) to find the $i$th smallest of the $2n$ keys.
      (For simplicity, you may assume the keys are distinct.)
   b. Give a lower bound for this problem.

5. Design and analyze an efficient algorithm to find the third largest item in an array.

6. Consider the problem of Sorting by Reversals. You are given a permutation of the numbers from 1 to $n$ in an array, $A$. The operation you have is to choose two indices, $i$ and $j$, and to reverse the elements in the subarray from $A[i]$ to $A[j]$, inclusive. The objective is to end with a sorted array. For example, given $A = [8, 6, 4, 2, 7, 5, 3, 1]$, the first operation could be $(3, 6)$, resulting in $A = [8, 6, 5, 7, 2, 4, 3, 1]$. Then doing the operations $(2, 4), (3, 4), (5, 7), (5, 6), (1, 8)$ would finish sorting the array. The question is: What is the shortest sequence of operations which will sort the array?
   a. Give an algorithm which sorts the array in $O(n)$ operations.
   b. Prove that any algorithm needs at least $\Omega(n)$ operations in the worst case.
   c. Why doesn’t the information-theoretic lower bound of $\Omega(n \log n)$ apply here?