DM553 – Complexity and Computability – 2018 Lecture 14

Lecture, April 17

We showed that HAMILTONIAN CIRCUIT is NP-Complete. Then we started on lower bounds from section 2.4 in the notes, which can be found through Blackboard, by clicking "Course Materials". (Part of this is also in section 8.1 of CLRS.) We finished the lower bound on sorting by comparisons.

Lecture, April 24

We will cover sections 2.4.3, 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 from the notes.

Lecture, April 25 (8:15-10:00)

We will finish sections 3.3 and 3.5 from the notes and then cover median finding from section 9.3 in CLRS.

Problems to be discussed on April 25

- 1. From last week, finish problem 34-3 from CLRS.
- 2. Do problem 3.10 from page 141 of the notes.
- 3. Prove a lower bound for merging two lists of lengths n and m which meets the upper bound of n + m 1 (assume n = m).
- 4. From the following pages of that book by Baase:

Consider the problem of determining if a bit string of length n contains two consecutive zeros. The basic operation is to examine a position in the string to see if it is a 0 or a 1. For each n = 2, 3, 4, 5 either give an adversary strategy to force any algorithm to examine every bit, or give and algorithm that solves the problem by examining fewer than n bits.

and

- a. You are given n keys and an integer k such that $1 \le k \le n$. Give an efficient algorithm to find any one of the k smallest keys. (For example, if k=3, the algorithm may provide the first-, second- or third-smallest key. It need not know the exact rank of the key it outputs.) How many key comparisons does your algorithm do? (Hint: Don't look for something complicated. One insight gives a short, simple algorithm try finding smallest, but stop when you have enough information.)
- b. Give a lower bound, as a function of n and k, on the number of comparisons needed to solve this problems.
- 5. From Baase's textbook: Suppose L1 and L2 are arrays, each with n keys sorted in ascending order.
 - a. Devise an $O((\lg n)^2)$ algorithm (or better) to find the *i*th smallest of the 2n keys. (For simplicity, you may assume the keys are distinct.)
 - b. Give a lower bound for this problem.
- 6. Design and analyze an efficient algorithm to find the third largest item in an array.
- 7. Consider the problem of Sorting by Reversals. You are given a permutation of the numbers from 1 to n in an array, A. The operation you have is to choose two indices, i and j, and to reverse the elements in the subarray from A[i] to A[j], inclusive. The objective is to end with a sorted array. For example, given A = [8, 6, 4, 2, 7, 5, 3, 1], the first operation could be (3, 6), resulting in A = [8, 6, 5, 7, 2, 4, 3, 1]. Then doing the operations (2, 4), (3, 4), (5, 7), (5, 6), (1, 8) would finish sorting the array. The question is: What is the shortest sequence of operations which will sort an arbitrary array?
 - a. Give an algorithm which sorts the array in O(n) operations.
 - b. Prove that any algorithm needs at least $\Omega(n)$ operations in the worst case.
 - c. Why doesn't the information-theoretic lower bound of $\Omega(n \log n)$ apply here?