Institut for Matematik og Datalogi Syddansk Universitet March 27, 2020 JFB

DM553/MM850 - Complexity and Computability 2020 - Lecture 12

Lecture, March 25

I showed that more problems are NP-Complete, from chapter 34 in CLRS. We covered CLIQUE, VERTEX COVER, INDEPENDENT SET, and SUBSET-SUM. There was also a conclusion and summary of NP-Completeness.

Lecture, March 30

I will start on lower bounds from notes, which can be found under "Course Materials" in Blackboard. (Part of this is also in section 8.1 of CLRS.) I will cover up through section 2.4 and start on adversary arguments from chapter 3 in those notes.

Lecture, April 2

We will cover section 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5 from the notes on lower bounds.

Problems to be discussed on April 16

From CLRS do:

- 1. Do problem 3.10 from page 141 of the notes.
- 2. Prove a lower bound for merging two lists of lengths n and m which meets the upper bound of n + m 1 (assume n = m).
- 3. From the following pages of that book by Baase:
 - (a) Consider the problem of determining if a bit string of length n contains two consecutive zeros. The basic operation is to examine a position in the string to see if it is a 0 or a 1. For each n = 2, 3, 4, 5 either give an adversary strategy to force any algorithm to examine every bit, or give an algorithm that solves the problem by examining fewer than n bits.

- (b) a. You are given n keys and an integer k such that $1 \le k \le n$. Give an efficient algorithm to find any one of the k smallest keys. (For example, if k = 3, the algorithm may provide the first-, second- or third-smallest key. It need not know the exact rank of the key it outputs.) How many key comparisons does your algorithm do? (Hint: Don't look for something complicated. One insight gives a short, simple algorithm try finding smallest, but stop when you have enough information.) b. Give a lower bound, as a function of n and k, on the number of comparisons needed to solve this problems.
- 4. From Baase's textbook: Suppose L1 and L2 are arrays, each with n keys sorted in ascending order.
 - a. Devise an $O((\lg n)^2)$ algorithm (or better) to find the *i*th smallest of the 2n keys. (For simplicity, you may assume the keys are distinct.)
 - b. Give a lower bound for this problem.
- 5. Design and analyze an efficient algorithm to find the third largest item in an array.
- 6. Consider the problem of Sorting by Reversals. You are given a permutation of the numbers from 1 to n in an array, A. The operation you have is to choose two indices, i and j, and to reverse the elements in the subarray from A[i] to A[j], inclusive. The objective is to end with a sorted array. For example, given A = [8, 6, 4, 2, 7, 5, 3, 1], the first operation could be (3, 6), resulting in A = [8, 6, 5, 7, 2, 4, 3, 1]. Then doing the operations (2, 4), (3, 4), (5, 7), (5, 6), (1, 8) would finish sorting the array. The question is: What is the shortest sequence of operations which will sort an arbitrary array?
 - a. Give an algorithm which sorts the array in O(n) operations.
 - b. Prove that any algorithm needs at least $\Omega(n)$ operations in the worst case.
 - c. Why doesn't the information-theoretic lower bound of $\Omega(n \log n)$ apply here?