Institut for Matematik og Datalogi
Syddansk Universitet

February 4, 2004
JFB

On-Line Algorithms – F04 – Lecture 2

Lecture, February 2

We began with an introduction to the course. Then, we covered chapter 1 in the textbook, up through section the first style of amortized proofs in section 1.4.

Lecture, February 11

We will continue with chapter 1 in the textbook, finishing sections 1.4, 1.5 and probably 1.6.

Lecture, February 18

We will finish chapter 1 in the textbook and begin on chapter 2.

Problems for Monday, February 16

1. Exercise 1.11 in the textbook. To make the factoring lemma hold in the full cost model, change the definition of $\mathrm{ALG}(x, j)$ to add one for the positive comparison. Try adding something to the original definition, even in the case where r_j is in front of x. Then, when comparing MTF to OPT, try looking at two different times where MTF pays the maximum, while OPT pays the minimum.

2. Exercise 1.12 in the textbook.

3. Give a request sequence for TIMESTAMP, where TIMESTAMP's performance ratio is exactly 2. You may assume any starting configuration.

4. Exercise 2.1 in the textbook.

5. Exercise 2.3 in the textbook (but only for the static case).

6. Show that there is a request sequence on which BIT's performance ratio is no better than $\frac{7}{4}$ in the partial cost model. (It is sufficient to look at lists of length 2.)