Department of Mathematics and Computer Science September 20, 2010 University of Southern Denmark JFB, KSL

DM205 – On-Line Algorithms – Lecture 7

## Lecture, September 20

• Borodin & El-Yaniv, Chapter 4.

## Lecture, September 23

• Starting on "The Relative Worst Order Ratio Applied to Paging" (available via the course home page).

In section 2, we will initially only consider Definitions 1 and 2 and skip the others. Next, we will cover up through Corollary 3 of Section 4, and then Section 6.

## Lecture, September 28

• Finishing "The Relative Worst Order Ratio Applied to Paging".

We will cover Sections 3 and 6, the definitions for relatedness and weakly comparable in Section 2, and Theorem 7 of Section 5.

## Exercises, September 30

All references are to the textbook by Borodin & El-Yaniv unless otherwise stated.

- 1. Show that with the relative worst order ratio, for a given problem, the ordering as to which algorithms are better than which is transitive: Show that if  $WR_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}} \geq 1$  and  $WR_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{C}} \geq 1$ , then  $WR_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{C}} \geq WR_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{C}}$ . Furthermore, show that if  $WR_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}}$  is bounded from below by some constant, then  $WR_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{C}} \geq WR_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}}$ .
- 2. Lemma 4 in the article "The relative worst order ratio applied to paging" does not hold if the conservative algorithm is allowed look-ahead. How do you know this? Where does the proof fail?
- 3. Find another sequence which would separate LRU's and FWF's behavior under the relative worst order ratio. (It is not necessary to get as large a ratio as the one in the article. Try for  $\frac{3}{2}$ .)

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science September 20, 2010 University of Southern Denmark JFB, KSL

- 4. Try defining an algorithm which is based on FIFO and uses look-ahead. What is its relative worst order ratio compared to FIFO? To LRU?
- 5. Consider the algorithm for dual bin packing (fixed number of bins, maximizing the number of accepted items) that behaves exactly as First-Fit would unless the item x is larger than  $\frac{1}{2}$  and would be placed in the last bin, bin n. The algorithm FF<sub>n</sub> rejects such an item and is thus not fair.

Show that  $\mathrm{FF}_n$  is better than First-Fit, according to the relative worst order ratio.

6. Compare MTF and TRANS for the list accessing problem, using the relative worst order ratio.