

## Random Delete Arrays

We are interested in a datatype which stores a collection of elements and supports the operations *Insert*, which adds a new element to the collection, and *DeleteRandom*, which deletes and returns a random (not an arbitrary) element.

We have the following constraints on the data structure which implements this datatype:

The elements should be stored in an array indexed from zero. We implement *DeleteRandom* using a random number generator. If `random()` return a number  $r$  from the interval  $[0..1)$ , then we choose the element  $\lfloor rn \rfloor$ , where  $n$  is the number of elements in the structure at the given time.

**Question a:** Assume that we know an upper bound on how large the size of the collection can become. Write pseudo-code which implements both operations in  $O(1)$ , assuming that `random()` runs in  $O(1)$ .  $\square$

Now we no longer have an upper bound on the size of the collection.

**Question b:** We want to limit space usage to  $O(n)$ . To do that, we sometimes allocate a new array of a different size, move all elements into the new array, and deallocate the old array (release the space to the operating system). We let  $s$  denote the size of the array (which is always at least  $n$ ).

- if  $n = s$  and *Insert* is called, a new array of size  $2s$  is created.
- if  $n = \frac{s}{4}$  and *DeleteRandom* is called, a new array of size  $\frac{s}{2}$  is created.

Show that both operations have running times amortized  $O(1)$  and that space usage is  $O(n)$ . The potential function  $\Theta(n, s) = 2 \cdot |\frac{s}{2} - n|$  (or some variant hereof) might be useful.  $\square$

**Question c:** Explain how both operations can be implemented to run in worst-case  $O(1)$  while space usage is still  $O(n)$ .  $\square$