formalizing choreographies in coq

luís cruz-filipe

(joint work with fabrizio montesi & marco peressotti)

department of mathematics and computer science university of southern denmark

cl workshop november 20th, 2020

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

choreographies in coq $0 \bullet 000000000$

the work in a nutshell

goal

formalize a research article in choreographic programming

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- language of core choreographies
- language of stateful processes
- endpoint projection
- turing completeness

the work in a nutshell

goal

formalize a research article in choreographic programming

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- language of core choreographies
- language of stateful processes
- endpoint projection
- turing completeness

why?

- proof of principle
- referee management
- hopes of a better future

methodology

- theorem prover: coq
- follow the reference as closely as possible

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• expected issue: granularity

choreographies in coq000000000

initial successes...

lots of good things

- syntax and semantics of core choreographies (with examples)
- formalization of partial recursive functions (ever heard of them?)
- encoding of partial recursive functions in choreographies

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• soundness of the encoding (with termination)

... very, very close to the original article!

... then some challenges...

some tricky issues

- the "fatsemi" lemma completeness of the encoding (very obvious result)
- reasoning about structural precongruence (stratified, commuting lemmas, interesting insights, nightmares)
- branching terms and partial functions
- merging and more partial functions
- the epp theorem (and the more_branches relation)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

\ldots and then all hell breaks loose

$the \ unsurmountable \ obstacles$

- \bullet recursion variables, $\alpha\text{-equivalence, and structural precongruence}$
- the "fatsemi" lemma requires confluence (still very obvious, but not for coq)
- multiplication of (unprovable) results (including the strangest properties about unfolding)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

nightmare on epp street

sideplot: fabrizio's course notes

a new way of formalizing choreographies

- no structural precongruence
- out-of-order execution and explicit unfolding

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• global procedures (we knew that!)

sideplot: fabrizio's course notes

a new way of formalizing choreographies

- no structural precongruence
- out-of-order execution and explicit unfolding
- global procedures (we knew that!)

$psychological\ implications$

• six months of work (nearly) down the drain

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• mental preparation required for restarting

sideplot: fabrizio's course notes

a new way of formalizing choreographies

- no structural precongruence
- out-of-order execution and explicit unfolding
- global procedures (we knew that!)

psychological implications

- six months of work (nearly) down the drain
- mental preparation required for restarting

the bright side

- much more reusable development than expected
- even more reusable experience

$current\ status$

done

- syntax and semantics of choreographies
- confluence lemmas
- bigstep semantics (instead of the "fatsemi" lemma)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

- encoding of partial recursive functions
- soundness and completeness of the encoding

(planned submission in early february)

choreographies in coq0000000 \bullet 00

current status (cont'd)

in progress

- syntax and semantics of networks (still undecided on partiality of branching)
- merging (and epp)
- the epp theorem

 \leadsto basically one design decision, the right choice should unblock everything

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

(optimistically planned submission in mid-february)

the future

modular development

• add new features without breaking the old development

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- streamline paper submission
- avoid endless discussions with referees
- generate correct-by-construction code
- (of course) publish a lot of papers

choreographies in coq 000000000

thank you!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ