DM554/DM545 Linear and Integer Programming

Lecture 11 Relaxations Well Solved Problems Network Flows

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

Relaxations Well Solved Problems

1. Relaxations

A few Remarks for Assignment 1

- summarize and comment the results/plots
- In PS, report how many assets are to be bought in task 1 and 2
- In PS, meaning of plots
- Try to use single letter for name of variables
- use \leq , not <=
- x[t] is programming language, x_t is math language
- f(t) is a function, not an indexed variable/parameter
- define all variables, eg, $y \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\forall t \text{ must be completed by the domain of } t, eg, t = 1..3, t \in T$
- print your reports in double sided papers
- In LaTeX use \begin{array} or \begin{align} to write your models
- Be short!
- Resume your model in a compact way
- Annotate PDF: MacOSX, Win, Linux

Outline

1. Relaxations

Optimality and Relaxation

 $z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n\}$

How can we prove that \mathbf{x}^* is optimal? \overline{z} is UB \underline{z} is LB stop when $\overline{z} - \underline{z} \leq \epsilon$



- Primal bounds (here lower bounds): every feasible solution gives a primal bound may be easy or hard to find, heuristics
- Dual bounds (here upper bounds): Relaxations

Optimality gap:

 $gap = \frac{pb - db}{\inf\{|z|, z \in [db, pb]\}}(.100)$ for a minimization problem

(If $pb \ge 0$ and $db \ge 0$ then $\frac{pb-db}{db}$. If db = pb = 0 then gap = 0. If no feasible sol found or $db \le 0 \le pb$ then gap is not computed.)

Proposition

$$(RP) z^{R} = \max\{f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\} \text{ is a relaxation of} (IP) z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\} \text{ if }: (i) X \subseteq T \text{ or} (ii) f(\mathbf{x}) \ge c(\mathbf{x}) \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$$

In other terms:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}} f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \begin{cases} \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}} c(\mathbf{x}) \\ \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} f(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases} \geq \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} c(\mathbf{x})$$

- T: candidate solutions;
- $X \subseteq T$ feasible solutions;
- $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq c(\mathbf{x})$

Relaxations

How to construct relaxations?

1. $IP : \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}, P = {c \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}}$ $LP : \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in P}$ Better formulations give better bounds $(P_1 \subseteq P_2)$

Proposition

- (i) If a relaxation RP is infeasible, the original problem IP is infeasible.
- (ii) Let \mathbf{x}^* be optimal solution for RP. If $\mathbf{x}^* \in X$ and $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = c(\mathbf{x}^*)$ then \mathbf{x}^* is optimal for IP.
- 2. Combinatorial relaxations to easy problems that can be solved rapidly Eg: TSP to Assignment problem Eg: Symmetric TSP to 1-tree

3. Lagrangian relaxation

$$IP: \qquad z = \max\{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

$$LR: \qquad z(\mathbf{u}) = \max\{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X\}$$

$$z(\mathbf{u}) \ge z \qquad \forall \mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

4. Duality:

Definition

Two problems:

 $z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X\} \qquad w = \min\{w(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in U\}$

form a weak-dual pair if $c(\mathbf{x}) \le w(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and all $\mathbf{u} \in U$. When z = w they form a strong-dual pair

Proposition

 $z = \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ and $w^{LP} = \min{\{\mathbf{ub}^T : \mathbf{u}A \geq \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m\}}$ (ie, dual of linear relaxation) form a weak-dual pair.

Proposition

Let IP and D be weak-dual pair:

- (i) If D is unbounded, then IP is infeasible
- (ii) If $\mathbf{x}^* \in X$ and $\mathbf{u}^* \in U$ satisfy $c(\mathbf{x}^*) = w(\mathbf{u}^*)$ then \mathbf{x}^* is optimal for IP and \mathbf{u}^* is optimal for D.

The advantage is that we do not need to solve an LP like in the LP relaxation to have a bound, any feasible dual solution gives a bound.

Examples

Weak pairs:Matching: $z = \max\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m\}$ V. Covering: $w = \min\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^T A \ge 1, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$

Proof: consider LP relaxations, then $z \le z^{LP} = w^{LP} \le w$. (strong when graphs are bipartite)

Weak pairs:Packing: $z = \max\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$ S. Covering: $w = \min\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} : A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m\}$

Outline

1. Relaxations

 $\max\{\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in X\} \equiv \max\{\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{conv}(X)\}\$ $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n, P \text{ a polyhedron } P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } X = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$

Definition (Separation problem for a COP)

Given $\mathbf{x}^* \in P$ is $\mathbf{x}^* \in \operatorname{conv}(X)$? If not find an inequality $\mathbf{ax} \leq \mathbf{b}$ satisfied by all points in X but violated by the point \mathbf{x}^* .

(Farkas' lemma states the existence of such an inequality.)

Properties of Easy Problems

Four properties that often go together:

Definition

- (i) Efficient optimization property: ∃ a polynomial algorithm for max{cx : x ∈ X ⊆ ℝⁿ}
- (ii) Strong duality property: \exists strong dual D min $\{w(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in U\}$ that allows to quickly verify optimality
- (iii) Efficient separation problem: \exists efficient algorithm for separation problem
- (iv) Efficient convex hull property: a compact description of the convex hull is available

Example:

If explicit convex hull strong duality holds efficient separation property (just description of conv(X)) Theoretical analysis to prove results about

- strength of certain inequalities that are facet defining 2 ways
- descriptions of convex hull of some discrete $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$ several ways, we see one next

Example

Let

$$X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+ \times \mathbb{B}^1 : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \le my, x_i \le 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

$$\mathsf{P} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^1 : x_i \leq y \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m, y \leq 1\}$$

Polyhedron *P* describes conv(X)

Totally Unimodular Matrices

Relaxations ell Solved Problems

When the LP solution to this problem

 $IP: \max\{c^T x : Ax \leq b, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+\}$

with all data integer will have integer solution?



Cramer's rule for solving systems of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ f \end{bmatrix} \qquad x = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} e & b \\ f & d \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}} \qquad y = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} a & e \\ c & f \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}} \qquad \mathbf{x} = A_B^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \frac{A_B^{adj} \mathbf{b}}{\det(A_B)}$$

Definition

- A square integer matrix B is called unimodular (UM) if $det(B) = \pm 1$
- An integer matrix A is called totally unimodular (TUM) if every square, nonsingular submatrix of A is UM

Proposition

- If A is TUM then all vertices of R₁(A) = {x : Ax = b, x ≥ 0} are integer if b is integer
- If A is TUM then all vertices of R₂(A) = {x : Ax ≤ b, x ≥ 0} are integer if b is integer.

Proof: if A is TUM then [A|I] is TUM Any square, nonsingular submatrix C of [A|I] can be written as

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ \overline{D} & \overline{I_k} \end{bmatrix}$

where B is square submatrix of A. Hence $det(C) = det(B) = \pm 1$

Proposition

The transpose matrix A^{T} of a TUM matrix A is also TUM.

Theorem (Sufficient condition)

An integer matrix A with is TUM if

- 1. $a_{ij} \in \{0, -1, +1\}$ for all i, j
- 2. each column contains at most two non-zero coefficients $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{ij}| \le 2)$
- 3. if the rows can be partitioned into two sets l_1 , l_2 such that:
 - if a column has 2 entries of same sign, their rows are in different sets
 - *if a column has 2 entries of different signs, their rows are in the same set*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof: by induction

Basis: one matrix of one element $\{+1, -1\}$ is TUM

Induction: let C be of size k.

If C has column with all 0s then it is singular.

If a column with only one 1 then expand on that by induction

If 2 non-zero in each column then

$$\forall j : \sum_{i \in I_1} a_{ij} = \sum_{i \in I_2} a_{ij}$$

but then linear combination of rows and det(C) = 0

Other matrices with integrality property:

- TUM
- Balanced matrices
- Perfect matrices
- Integer vertices

Defined in terms of forbidden substructures that represent fractionating possibilities.

Proposition

- A is always TUM if it comes from
 - node-edge incidence matrix of undirected bipartite graphs (ie, no odd cycles) (l₁ = U, l₂ = V, B = (U, V, E))
 - node-arc incidence matrix of directed graphs ($I_2 = \emptyset$)

Eg: Shortest path, max flow, min cost flow, bipartite weighted matching



1. Relaxations