

The essence of proofs when fibring sequent calculi

Luís Cruz-Filipe^{1,2} Cristina Sernadas^{1,2})

¹Center for Logic and Computation
Lisbon, Portugal

²Dept. Mathematics, IST
Lisbon, Portugal

Logic and Computation Seminar
May 13th 2005

Motivation

- no work on fibring of sequent calculus
- “intuitive” definition not very satisfactory...
- ideas from work on proof systems

Motivation

- no work on fibring of sequent calculus
- “intuitive” definition not very satisfactory. . .
- ideas from work on proof systems

Motivation

- no work on fibring of sequent calculus
- “intuitive” definition not very satisfactory. . .
- ideas from work on proof systems

- 1 Background
- 2 Sequent calculi given by rules
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Fibring
- 3 Sequent calculi given by derivations
 - Definitions
 - Fibring
 - Equivalence
- 4 Preservation results
 - Cut elimination
 - Decidability
- 5 Conclusions & future work

- 1 Background
- 2 Sequent calculi given by rules
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Fibring
- 3 Sequent calculi given by derivations
 - Definitions
 - Fibring
 - Equivalence
- 4 Preservation results
 - Cut elimination
 - Decidability
- 5 Conclusions & future work

- 1 Background
- 2 Sequent calculi given by rules
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Fibring
- 3 Sequent calculi given by derivations
 - Definitions
 - Fibring
 - Equivalence
- 4 Preservation results
 - Cut elimination
 - Decidability
- 5 Conclusions & future work

- 1 Background
- 2 Sequent calculi given by rules
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Fibring
- 3 Sequent calculi given by derivations
 - Definitions
 - Fibring
 - Equivalence
- 4 Preservation results
 - Cut elimination
 - Decidability
- 5 Conclusions & future work

- 1 Background
- 2 Sequent calculi given by rules
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Fibring
- 3 Sequent calculi given by derivations
 - Definitions
 - Fibring
 - Equivalence
- 4 Preservation results
 - Cut elimination
 - Decidability
- 5 Conclusions & future work

Signatures

Definition

A (propositional) *signature* C is a family of sets indexed by the natural numbers.

The elements of each C_k are called *constructors* or *connectives* of arity k .

We say that $C \subseteq C'$ if $C_k \subseteq C'_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Signatures

Definition

A (propositional) *signature* C is a family of sets indexed by the natural numbers.

The elements of each C_k are called *constructors* or *connectives* of arity k .

We say that $C \subseteq C'$ if $C_k \subseteq C'_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Formulas

Definition

Let C be a signature and $\Xi = \{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set of meta-variables.

The *language* $L(C, \Xi)$ is the free algebra over C generated by Ξ .

The elements of $L(C, \Xi)$ are called *formulas*.

Substitutions

Definition

A *substitution* is a map $\sigma : \Xi \rightarrow L(C)$.

Substitutions can be inductively extended to formulas and to sets of formulas:

- $\sigma(\gamma)$ is the formula where each $\xi \in \Xi$ is replaced by $\sigma(\xi)$;
- $\sigma(\Gamma) = \{\sigma(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

In particular, when $\sigma(\xi_n) \in \Xi$ for every n , we say that σ is a *renaming of variables*.

Substitutions

Definition

A *substitution* is a map $\sigma : \Xi \rightarrow L(C)$.

Substitutions can be inductively extended to formulas and to sets of formulas:

- $\sigma(\gamma)$ is the formula where each $\xi \in \Xi$ is replaced by $\sigma(\xi)$;
- $\sigma(\Gamma) = \{\sigma(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

In particular, when $\sigma(\xi_n) \in \Xi$ for every n , we say that σ is a *renaming of variables*.

Substitutions

Definition

A *substitution* is a map $\sigma : \Xi \rightarrow L(C)$.

Substitutions can be inductively extended to formulas and to sets of formulas:

- $\sigma(\gamma)$ is the formula where each $\xi \in \Xi$ is replaced by $\sigma(\xi)$;
- $\sigma(\Gamma) = \{\sigma(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

In particular, when $\sigma(\xi_n) \in \Xi$ for every n , we say that σ is a *renaming of variables*.

Definition

A *sequent calculus (given by rules)* is a pair $\mathcal{R} = \langle C, R \rangle$, where C is a signature and R is a set of rules including structural rules and specific rules (for the connectives).

Structural rules

These are chosen among the following.

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{Cut}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1} \text{RW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \xi_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LC}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \xi_1, \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_2} \text{RC}$$

Structural rules

These are chosen among the following.

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{Cut}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1} \text{RW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \xi_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LC}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \xi_1, \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_2} \text{RC}$$

Structural rules

These are chosen among the following.

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{Cut}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\xi_1, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \xi_1} \text{RW}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1, \xi_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Delta_1, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta_2} \text{LC}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \xi_1, \Delta_2}{\Delta_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_2} \text{RC}$$

Rules for the connectives

These can include:

- Left rules: the antecedent of the conclusion includes a formula $c(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ for some n -ary connective c .
- Right rules: the consequent of the conclusion includes a formula $c(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ for some n -ary connective c .

Rules for the connectives

These can include:

- Left rules: the antecedent of the conclusion includes a formula $c(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ for some n -ary connective c .
- Right rules: the consequent of the conclusion includes a formula $c(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ for some n -ary connective c .

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom;
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is a rule;
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is a weakening.

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is derived from $\Delta_{1,i-1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i-1}$ by a rule of \mathcal{R} .

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (rule-)derivation of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Derivations

Definition

A (*rule-*)*derivation* of a sequent s from a set of sequents Δ in sequent calculus \mathcal{R} is a finite sequence $\{\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ of sequents such that:

- $\Delta_{1,1} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,1}$ is s ;
- for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, one of the following holds:
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i}$ is an axiom (justified by Ax);
 - $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} \in \Delta$ (justified by Hyp);
 - for some rule $r = \langle \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, \gamma \rangle$ and substitution σ , $\Delta_{1,i} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,i} = \sigma(\gamma)$ and $\sigma(\theta_j) \in \{\Delta_{1,k} \longrightarrow \Delta_{2,k}\}_{k=i+1}^n$ (justified by r, i_1, \dots, i_k).

Notation: $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ or (when Δ is empty) $\vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$.

Example: S4

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} \text{L} \rightarrow \quad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} \text{R} \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \diamond(\Delta_1)}{(\diamond\xi_1), \square(\Gamma_1), \Gamma_2 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \diamond(\Delta_1)} \text{L}\diamond \quad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} \text{L}\square$$

$$\frac{\square\Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_1}{\Gamma_2, \square(\Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (\square\xi_1), \diamond(\Delta_1), \Delta_2} \text{R}\square \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1, (\diamond\xi_1)}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\diamond\xi_1)} \text{R}\diamond$$

where $\square(\Gamma) = \{(\square\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$ and $\diamond(\Gamma) = \{(\diamond\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$

Example: S4

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} L \rightarrow \quad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} R \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \diamond(\Delta_1)}{(\diamond\xi_1), \square(\Gamma_1), \Gamma_2 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \diamond(\Delta_1)} L\diamond \quad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} L\square$$

$$\frac{\square\Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_1}{\Gamma_2, \square(\Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (\square\xi_1), \diamond(\Delta_1), \Delta_2} R\square \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1, (\diamond\xi_1)}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\diamond\xi_1)} R\diamond$$

where $\square(\Gamma) = \{(\square\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$ and $\diamond(\Gamma) = \{(\diamond\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$

Example: S4

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} L \rightarrow \quad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} R \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\xi_1, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \diamond(\Delta_1)}{(\diamond\xi_1), \square(\Gamma_1), \Gamma_2 \longrightarrow \Delta_2, \diamond(\Delta_1)} L\diamond \quad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, (\square\xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} L\square$$

$$\frac{\square\Gamma_1 \longrightarrow \xi_1, \Delta_1}{\Gamma_2, \square(\Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (\square\xi_1), \diamond(\Delta_1), \Delta_2} R\square \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1, (\diamond\xi_1)}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\diamond\xi_1)} R\diamond$$

where $\square(\Gamma) = \{(\square\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$ and $\diamond(\Gamma) = \{(\diamond\varphi) : \varphi \in \Gamma\}$

Derivation in $S4$

Example

The following shows that $\vdash_{S4} \longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1)))$.

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 1. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1)))$ | $R\diamond, 2$ |
| 2. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))$ | $R\rightarrow, 3$ |
| 3. | $\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))), (\Box\xi_1)$ | $R\Box, 4$ |
| 4. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))), \xi_1$ | $R\diamond, 5$ |
| 5. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1)), \xi_1$ | $R\rightarrow, 6$ |
| 6. | $\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\Box\xi_1))), (\Box\xi_1), \xi_1$ | Ax |

Example: D

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\rightarrow} \qquad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} R_{\rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1}{\Gamma, (\neg \xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\neg} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow (\neg \xi_1), \Delta} R_{\neg}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Box \xi_1)} R_{\Box} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Diamond \xi_1)} R_{\Diamond}$$

Example: D

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\rightarrow} \qquad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} R_{\rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1}{\Gamma, (\neg \xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\neg} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow (\neg \xi_1), \Delta} R_{\neg}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Box \xi_1)} R_{\Box} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Diamond \xi_1)} R_{\Diamond}$$

Example: D

All structural rules plus:

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1 \quad \xi_2, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2), \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\rightarrow} \qquad \frac{\xi_1, \Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_2}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)} R_{\rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \xi_1}{\Gamma, (\neg \xi_1) \longrightarrow \Delta} L_{\neg} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow (\neg \xi_1), \Delta} R_{\neg}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Box \xi_1)} R_{\Box} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \xi_1}{\Box(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (\Diamond \xi_1)} R_{\Diamond}$$

Derivation in D

Example

The following shows that $\longrightarrow \xi_2 \vdash_D \longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2))$

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2))$ | Cut, 2, 5 |
| 2. | $(\Box \xi_2) \longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2))$ | $R\diamond$, 3 |
| 3. | $\xi_2 \longrightarrow (\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)$ | $R\rightarrow$, 4 |
| 4. | $\xi_2, \xi_1 \longrightarrow \xi_2$ | Ax |
| 5. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond(\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2)), (\Box \xi_2)$ | RW , 6 |
| 6. | $\longrightarrow (\Box \xi_2)$ | $R\Box$, 7 |
| 7. | $\longrightarrow \xi_2$ | Hyp |

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi.

The (rule-)fibring $\mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' is the sequent calculus $\langle C' \cup C'', R' \cup R'' \rangle$.

Example

We can show that $\vdash_{S4 \uplus D} \longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))))$

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------|
| 1. | $\longrightarrow \diamond''(\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$ | Cut, 2, 5 |
| 2. | $(\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))) \longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))))$ | $R_{\diamond''}, 3$ |
| 3. | $(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))) \longrightarrow (\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$ | $R_{\rightarrow}, 4$ |
| 4. | $\xi_2, (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))) \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))$ | Ax |
| 5. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))), (\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$ | $RW, 6$ |
| 6. | $\longrightarrow (\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$ | $R_{\square''}, 7$ |
| 7. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))$ | $R_{\diamond'}, 8$ |
| 8. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))$ | $R_{\rightarrow}, 9$ |
| 9. | $\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))), (\square'\xi_1)$ | $R_{\square'}, 10$ |
| 10. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))), \xi_1$ | $R_{\diamond'}, 11$ |
| 11. | $\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), \xi_1$ | $R_{\rightarrow}, 12$ |
| 12. | $\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))), (\square'\xi_1), \xi_1$ | Ax |

Inspiration

Definition

A *proof system* is a tuple $\mathcal{P} = \langle C, D, \circ, P \rangle$ where C is a signature, D is a set, $\circ : \wp(D) \times D \rightarrow D$ and $P = \{P_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma \subseteq L(C)}$ is a family of relations $P_\Gamma \subseteq D \times L(C)$ satisfying the following properties.

- Right reflexivity: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $P_\Gamma(d, \gamma)$ for some $d \in D$;
- Monotonicity: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then $P_{\Gamma_1} \subseteq P_{\Gamma_2}$;
- Compositionality: if $P_\Gamma(E, \Psi)$ and $P_\Psi(d, \varphi)$, then $P_\Gamma(E \circ d, \varphi)$.

Inspiration

Definition

A *proof system* is a tuple $\mathcal{P} = \langle C, D, \circ, P \rangle$ where C is a signature, D is a set, $\circ : \wp(D) \times D \rightarrow D$ and $P = \{P_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma \subseteq L(C)}$ is a family of relations $P_\Gamma \subseteq D \times L(C)$ satisfying the following properties.

- Right reflexivity: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $P_\Gamma(d, \gamma)$ for some $d \in D$;
- Monotonicity: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then $P_{\Gamma_1} \subseteq P_{\Gamma_2}$;
- Compositionality: if $P_\Gamma(E, \Psi)$ and $P_\Psi(d, \varphi)$, then $P_\Gamma(E \circ d, \varphi)$.

Inspiration

Definition

A *proof system* is a tuple $\mathcal{P} = \langle C, D, \circ, P \rangle$ where C is a signature, D is a set, $\circ : \wp(D) \times D \rightarrow D$ and $P = \{P_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma \subseteq L(C)}$ is a family of relations $P_\Gamma \subseteq D \times L(C)$ satisfying the following properties.

- Right reflexivity: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $P_\Gamma(d, \gamma)$ for some $d \in D$;
- Monotonicity: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then $P_{\Gamma_1} \subseteq P_{\Gamma_2}$;
- Compositionality: if $P_\Gamma(E, \Psi)$ and $P_\Psi(d, \varphi)$, then $P_\Gamma(E \circ d, \varphi)$.

Inspiration

Definition

A *proof system* is a tuple $\mathcal{P} = \langle C, D, \circ, P \rangle$ where C is a signature, D is a set, $\circ : \wp(D) \times D \rightarrow D$ and $P = \{P_\Gamma\}_{\Gamma \subseteq L(C)}$ is a family of relations $P_\Gamma \subseteq D \times L(C)$ satisfying the following properties.

- Right reflexivity: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $P_\Gamma(d, \gamma)$ for some $d \in D$;
- Monotonicity: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then $P_{\Gamma_1} \subseteq P_{\Gamma_2}$;
- Compositionality: if $P_\Gamma(E, \Psi)$ and $P_\Psi(d, \varphi)$, then $P_\Gamma(E \circ d, \varphi)$.

Definition

A *sequent calculus given by derivations* is a pair $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ where C is a signature and $P = \{P_\Delta : \Delta \in \wp_{\text{fin}} \text{Seq}_C\}$ is a family of predicates $P_\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C^* \times \text{Seq}_C$ such that the following conditions hold.

- Conclusion: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, then s is the first element in ω .
- Monotonicity: if $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$, then $P_{\Delta_1} \subseteq P_{\Delta_2}$.
- Closure under substitution: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds and σ is a substitution, then $P_{\sigma(\Delta)}(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(s))$ also holds.

Definition

A *sequent calculus given by derivations* is a pair $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ where C is a signature and $P = \{P_\Delta : \Delta \in \wp_{\text{fin}} \text{Seq}_C\}$ is a family of predicates $P_\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C^* \times \text{Seq}_C$ such that the following conditions hold.

- Conclusion: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, then s is the first element in ω .
- Monotonicity: if $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$, then $P_{\Delta_1} \subseteq P_{\Delta_2}$.
- Closure under substitution: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds and σ is a substitution, then $P_{\sigma(\Delta)}(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(s))$ also holds.

Definition

A *sequent calculus given by derivations* is a pair $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ where C is a signature and $P = \{P_\Delta : \Delta \in \wp_{\text{fin}} \text{Seq}_C\}$ is a family of predicates $P_\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C^* \times \text{Seq}_C$ such that the following conditions hold.

- Conclusion: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, then s is the first element in ω .
- Monotonicity: if $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$, then $P_{\Delta_1} \subseteq P_{\Delta_2}$.
- Closure under substitution: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds and σ is a substitution, then $P_{\sigma(\Delta)}(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(s))$ also holds.

Definition

A *sequent calculus given by derivations* is a pair $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ where C is a signature and $P = \{P_\Delta : \Delta \in \wp_{\text{fin}} \text{Seq}_C\}$ is a family of predicates $P_\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C^* \times \text{Seq}_C$ such that the following conditions hold.

- Conclusion: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, then s is the first element in ω .
- Monotonicity: if $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$, then $P_{\Delta_1} \subseteq P_{\Delta_2}$.
- Closure under substitution: if $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds and σ is a substitution, then $P_{\sigma(\Delta)}(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(s))$ also holds.

Induced calculus from rules

Let $\mathcal{R} = \langle C, R \rangle$ be a sequent calculus given by rules and define $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}) = \langle C, P \rangle$ where $P_{\Delta}(\omega, s)$ holds iff ω is a rule-derivation of s from Δ .

Then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R})$ is a sequent calculus given by derivations.

Furthermore, $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R})} s$.

Translation

Definition

Let C and C' be signatures with $C \subseteq C'$ and $g : L(C') \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injection.

The *translation* $\tau_g : L(C') \rightarrow L(C)$ is a map defined inductively as follows:

- $\tau_g(\xi_i) = \xi_{2i+1}$ for $\xi_i \in \Xi$;
- $\tau_g(c(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = c(\tau_g(\gamma'_1), \dots, \tau_g(\gamma'_k))$ for $c \in C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$;
- $\tau_g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = \xi_{2g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k))}$ for $c' \in C'_k \setminus C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$.

Translation

Definition

Let C and C' be signatures with $C \subseteq C'$ and $g : L(C') \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injection.

The *translation* $\tau_g : L(C') \rightarrow L(C)$ is a map defined inductively as follows:

- $\tau_g(\xi_i) = \xi_{2i+1}$ for $\xi_i \in \Xi$;
- $\tau_g(c(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = c(\tau_g(\gamma'_1), \dots, \tau_g(\gamma'_k))$ for $c \in C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$;
- $\tau_g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = \xi_{2g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k))}$ for $c' \in C'_k \setminus C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$.

Translation

Definition

Let C and C' be signatures with $C \subseteq C'$ and $g : L(C') \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injection.

The *translation* $\tau_g : L(C') \rightarrow L(C)$ is a map defined inductively as follows:

- $\tau_g(\xi_i) = \xi_{2i+1}$ for $\xi_i \in \Xi$;
- $\tau_g(c(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = c(\tau_g(\gamma'_1), \dots, \tau_g(\gamma'_k))$ for $c \in C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$;
- $\tau_g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = \xi_{2g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k))}$ for $c' \in C'_k \setminus C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$.

Translation

Definition

Let C and C' be signatures with $C \subseteq C'$ and $g : L(C') \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injection.

The *translation* $\tau_g : L(C') \rightarrow L(C)$ is a map defined inductively as follows:

- $\tau_g(\xi_i) = \xi_{2i+1}$ for $\xi_i \in \Xi$;
- $\tau_g(c(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = c(\tau_g(\gamma'_1), \dots, \tau_g(\gamma'_k))$ for $c \in C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$;
- $\tau_g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k)) = \xi_{2g(c'(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k))}$ for $c' \in C'_k \setminus C_k$ and $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_k \in L(C')$.

Inverse translation

Definition

With C , C' and g as above, $\tau_g^{-1} : \Xi \rightarrow L(C')$ is the following substitution:

- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i+1}) = \xi_i$;
- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i}) = g^{-1}(i)$.

It is easy to check that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau = \text{id}$ and $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Inverse translation

Definition

With C , C' and g as above, $\tau_g^{-1} : \Xi \rightarrow L(C')$ is the following substitution:

- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i+1}) = \xi_i$;
- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i}) = g^{-1}(i)$.

It is easy to check that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau = \text{id}$ and $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Inverse translation

Definition

With C , C' and g as above, $\tau_g^{-1} : \Xi \rightarrow L(C')$ is the following substitution:

- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i+1}) = \xi_i$;
- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i}) = g^{-1}(i)$.

It is easy to check that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau = \text{id}$ and $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Inverse translation

Definition

With C , C' and g as above, $\tau_g^{-1} : \Xi \rightarrow L(C')$ is the following substitution:

- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i+1}) = \xi_i$;
- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i}) = g^{-1}(i)$.

It is easy to check that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau = \text{id}$ and $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Inverse translation

Definition

With C , C' and g as above, $\tau_g^{-1} : \Xi \rightarrow L(C')$ is the following substitution:

- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i+1}) = \xi_i$;
- $\tau_g^{-1}(\xi_{2i}) = g^{-1}(i)$.

It is easy to check that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau = \text{id}$ and $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_j, s_j)$ holds for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_j, s_j)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \langle C', P' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \langle C'', P'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by derivations.

The fibring $\mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the sequent calculus $\langle C, P \rangle$, where $C = C' \cup C''$ and each P_Δ is inductively defined as follows.

- if $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- if $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ also holds;
- for finite $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, if $P_\Delta(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $P_\Sigma(\omega_s, s)$ holds, then $P_\Delta(\omega, s)$ holds, where ω is the sequence of sequents $\omega_s \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_k$.

τ' and τ'' are the translations of $L(C)$ to $L(C')$ and $L(C'')$.

Example

We show that $\vdash_{\mathcal{D}(S4) \uplus \mathcal{D}(D)} \longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_2 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))))$

1.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))))$	Cut, 2, 5
2.	$(\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))) \longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))))$	$R_{\diamond''}, 3$
3.	$(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))) \longrightarrow (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$	$R_{\rightarrow}, 4$
4.	$(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), \xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$	Ax
5.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond''(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))), (\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$	$RW, 6$
6.	$\longrightarrow (\square''(\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1))))$	$R_{\square''}, 7$
7.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))$	Hyp
1.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))$	$R_{\diamond'}, 2$
2.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)))$	$R_{\rightarrow}, 3$
3.	$\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), (\square'\xi_1))$	$R_{\square'}, 4$
4.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), \xi_1$	$R_{\diamond'}, 5$
5.	$\longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), (\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), \xi_1$	$R_{\rightarrow}, 6$
6.	$\xi_1 \longrightarrow (\diamond'(\xi_1 \rightarrow (\square'\xi_1)), (\square'\xi_1), \xi_1$	Ax

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}' = \langle C', R' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}'' = \langle C'', R'' \rangle$ be sequent calculi given by rules such that *Cut*, *LW* and *RW* are in $R' \cup R''$, and define:

- $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R}'')$ are the sequent calculi given by derivations induced by \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' ;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is the fibring of \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' ;
- $C = C' \cup C''$ is the common signature of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{D} .

Then \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} are equivalent systems in the sense that $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ iff $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} s$, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$.

Definition

A sequent calculus given by rules $\mathcal{R} = \langle C, R \rangle$ has cut elimination iff, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$, whenever $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ there is a derivation ω for $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ that does not use the cut rule.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' be sequent calculi given by rules with cut elimination.

Then their fibring \mathcal{R} also has cut elimination.

Definition

A sequent calculus given by rules $\mathcal{R} = \langle C, R \rangle$ has cut elimination iff, for any $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$ and $s \in \text{Seq}_C$, whenever $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ there is a derivation ω for $\Delta \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} s$ that does not use the cut rule.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' be sequent calculi given by rules with cut elimination.

Then their fibring \mathcal{R} also has cut elimination.

Definition

A sequent calculus given by derivations $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ is *decidable* iff, for every recursive set $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, the relation P_Δ is recursive.

A sequent calculus given by rules \mathcal{R} is decidable iff $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R})$ is decidable.

Definition

A sequent calculus given by derivations $\mathcal{D} = \langle C, P \rangle$ is *decidable* iff, for every recursive set $\Delta \subseteq \text{Seq}_C$, the relation P_Δ is recursive.

A sequent calculus given by rules \mathcal{R} is decidable iff $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{R})$ is decidable.

Theorem (Characterization via rules)

A \mathcal{R} be a sequent calculus given by rules is decidable iff for every rule r the relation S_r is recursive, where S_r is the relation such that $S_r(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)$ holds iff $\langle \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}, s \rangle$ is an instance of r .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' be decidable sequent calculi given by rules.

Then their fibring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is decidable.

Theorem (Characterization via rules)

A \mathcal{R} be a sequent calculus given by rules is decidable iff for every rule r the relation S_r is recursive, where S_r is the relation such that $S_r(s_1, \dots, s_n, s)$ holds iff $\langle \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}, s \rangle$ is an instance of r .

Corollary

*Let \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' be decidable sequent calculi given by rules.
Then their fibring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' \uplus \mathcal{R}''$ is decidable.*

Theorem

*Let \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' be decidable sequent calculi given by derivations.
Then their fibring $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \uplus \mathcal{D}''$ is decidable.*

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega^*, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Algorithm

- For each partition of ω do
 - 1 If the partition is singular, check whether $P'_{\tau'(\Delta)}(\tau'(\omega), \tau'(s))$ holds or $P''_{\tau''(\Delta)}(\tau''(\omega), \tau''(s))$ holds. If either is the case, output 1; otherwise move to the next partition.
 - 2 Otherwise, let ω^* be the first sequence in the partition and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ the remaining ones. Let s_i denote $(\omega_i)_1$.
 - 3 For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ check whether $P_{\Delta}(\omega_i, s_i)$ holds. If this is not the case, go on to the next partition.
 - 4 If the test above succeeded for all i , check whether $P_{\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}}(\omega, s)$ holds. If this is the case, output 1.
- When no partitions of ω are left, output 0.

Summary

- Definition of sequent calculus via derivations
- New definition of fibring
- Preservation of cut-elimination
- Preservation of decidability

Summary

- Definition of sequent calculus via derivations
- New definition of fibring
- Preservation of cut-elimination
- Preservation of decidability

Summary

- Definition of sequent calculus via derivations
- New definition of fibring
- Preservation of cut-elimination
- Preservation of decidability

Summary

- Definition of sequent calculus via derivations
- New definition of fibring
- Preservation of cut-elimination
- Preservation of decidability

Future work

- Generalization of the notion of sequent
- Generalization beyond propositional signature

Future work

- Generalization of the notion of sequent
- Generalization beyond propositional signature