

choreographies in practice

luís cruz-filipe

(joint work with fabrizio montesi)

department of mathematics and computer science
university of southern denmark

forte 2016
june 7th, 2016

outline

*choreographic
programming*

*procedural
choreographies*

*choreographies
in practice*

conclusions

what are choreographies?

- ~~> a model for distributed computation based on what is done “in practice”
- used for modeling interactions between web services
- high-level languages, alice-and-bob notation
- good properties: message pairing, deadlock-freedom
- projectable to adequate process calculi

a simple example

alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

a simple example

alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

- all messages are correctly paired
- synthetizable process implementation

$$\underbrace{!bob. \text{"hi"}; ?bob}_{\text{alice}} \mid \underbrace{?alice; !alice. \text{"hello"}_{\text{bob}}}_{\text{bob}}$$

a simple example

alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

- all messages are correctly paired
- synthetizable process implementation

$$\underbrace{!bob. \text{"hi"}; ?bob}_{\text{alice}} \mid \underbrace{?alice; !alice. \text{"hello"}_{\text{bob}}}_{\text{bob}}$$

- ~~> non-interfering communications in choreographies are allowed to *swap*, reflecting the process implementation

alice. "hi" → bob; carol. "bye" → di; bob. "hello" → alice

a simple example

alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

- all messages are correctly paired
- synthetizable process implementation

$$\underbrace{!bob. \text{"hi"}; ?bob}_{\text{alice}} \mid \underbrace{?alice; !alice. \text{"hello"}_{\text{bob}}}_{\text{bob}}$$

- ⇝ non-interfering communications in choreographies are allowed to *swap*, reflecting the process implementation

alice. "hi" → bob; carol. "bye" → di; bob. "hello" → alice

≡
carol. "bye" → di; alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

≡
alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice; carol. "bye" → di

a simple example

alice. "hi" → bob; bob. "hello" → alice

- all messages are correctly paired
- synthetizable process implementation

$$\underbrace{!bob. \text{"hi"}; ?bob}_{\text{alice}} \mid \underbrace{?alice; !alice. \text{"hello"}_{\text{bob}}}_{\text{bob}}$$

- ⇝ non-interfering communications in choreographies are allowed to *swap*, reflecting the process implementation

alice. "hi" → bob; carol. "bye" → di; bob. "hello" → alice
is implemented as

$$\underbrace{!bob. \text{"hi"}; ?bob}_{\text{alice}} \mid \underbrace{?alice; !alice. \text{"hello"}_{\text{bob}}}_{\text{bob}} \mid \underbrace{!di. \text{"bye"}_{\text{carol}}}_{\text{carol}} \mid \underbrace{?carol}_{\text{di}}$$

the world of choreographies

- ~~> common features (present in most languages)
- message passing
- conditional
- (tail) recursion
- label selection

the world of choreographies

- ~~> common features (present in most languages)
 - message passing
 - conditional
 - (tail) recursion
 - label selection

- ~~> additional features (only in particular languages)
 - channel passing
 - process spawning
 - asynchrony
 - web services
 - ...

the world of choreographies

- ~~> common features (present in most languages)
 - message passing
 - conditional
 - (tail) recursion
 - label selection
- ~~> additional features (only in particular languages)
 - channel passing
 - process spawning
 - asynchrony
 - web services
 - ...
- ~~> the target process calculi reflect these design choices

our motivation

- goal*
- study foundational aspects of choreographies & identify minimal primitives required for particular constructions
 - computational completeness
 - asynchronous communication

our motivation

- goal*
- study foundational aspects of choreographies & identify minimal primitives required for particular constructions
 - computational completeness
 - asynchronous communication
- ~~> “bottom-up” approach, rather than “top-down”

our motivation

- goal*
- study foundational aspects of choreographies & identify minimal primitives required for particular constructions
 - computational completeness
 - asynchronous communication
- ~~> “bottom-up” approach, rather than “top-down”

this work

- what algorithms can we implement with current-day choreography languages?
- what primitives do we need to go beyond these limits?
- what can we *not* do?

outline

*choreographic
programming*

*procedural
choreographies*

*choreographies
in practice*

conclusions

a model for programming

- ~~~ motivated by the intuition of parallel algorithms

a model for programming



motivated by the intuition of parallel algorithms

merge sort

1

given a list ℓ

2

split ℓ into ℓ_1 and ℓ_2

3

compute mergesort(ℓ_1) and mergesort(ℓ_2)

merge mergesort(ℓ_1) and mergesort(ℓ_2)

a model for programming

- ~~> motivated by the intuition of parallel algorithms

merge sort

1 given a list ℓ

2 split ℓ into ℓ_1 and ℓ_2

3 compute mergesort(ℓ_1) and mergesort(ℓ_2)

3 merge mergesort(ℓ_1) and mergesort(ℓ_2)

- ~~> step 2 should be done in two parallel computations

- ~~> it is not clear how to do this with only tail recursion...

procedural choreographies

design options

- typed processes, hold only one value
- communication allows for computation by both parties
- general sequential composition
- parameterized global procedures
- process spawning

procedural choreographies

design options

- typed processes, hold only one value
- communication allows for computation by both parties
- general sequential composition
- parameterized global procedures
- process spawning

$$C ::= \eta; C \mid I; C \mid \mathbf{0} \quad \mathcal{D} ::= \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = C, \mathcal{D} \mid \emptyset$$

$$\eta ::= p.e \rightarrow q.f \mid p \rightarrow q[\ell] \mid p \text{ start } q \mid p : q \leftrightarrow r$$

$$I ::= \text{if } p.e \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \mid \mathbf{x}\langle\tilde{p}\rangle \mid \mathbf{0}$$

procedural choreographies

design options

- typed processes, hold only one value
- communication allows for computation by both parties
- general sequential composition
- parameterized global procedures
- process spawning

$$C ::= \eta; C \mid I; C \mid \mathbf{0} \quad \mathcal{D} ::= \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = C, \mathcal{D} \mid \emptyset$$

$$\eta ::= p.e \rightarrow q.f \mid p \rightarrow q[\ell] \mid p \text{ start } q \mid p : q \leftrightarrow r$$

$$I ::= \text{if } p.e \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \mid \mathbf{x}\langle\tilde{p}\rangle \mid \mathbf{0}$$

omitted

- type system to ensure correctness
- endpoint projection to procedural processes

outline

*choreographic
programming*

*procedural
choreographies*

*choreographies
in practice*

conclusions

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p.start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
MS<p>
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
MS<p>
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ MS<p>
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
if p.is_small then 0
else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
      MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ if is_small then 0
    else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
          start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
          q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
          start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
          q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ MS<q1>; p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ MS<q1>; p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2;
MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ MS<q1>; p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2; if q1.is_small then 0
else q1 start q11,q12; q1.split1 -> q11; q1.split2 -> q12;
      MS<q11>; MS<q12>; q11.c -> q1; q12.c -> q1.merge
MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ if is_small then 0
      else start (q11 ▷ ...); start (q12 ▷ ...); ...
            p!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2;
q1 start q11,q12; q1.split1 -> q11; q1.split2 -> q12;
MS<q11>; MS<q12>; q11.c -> q1; q12.c -> q1.merge
MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ start (q11 ▷ ...); start (q12 ▷ ...);
q11!split1; q12!split2; q11?id; q12?merge; p!c

q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
p.split2 -> q2;
q1.split1 -> q11; q1.split2 -> q12;
MS<q11>; MS<q12>; q11.c -> q1; q12.c -> q1.merge
MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ q11!split1; q12!split2
q11 ▷ q1?id; MS<q11>; q1!c
q12 ▷ q1?id; MS<q12>; q1!c
q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
MS<q11>; MS<q12>; q11.c -> q1; q12.c -> q1.merge
MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ q11?id; q12?merge; p!c
q11 ▷ MS<q11>; q1!c
q12 ▷ MS<q12>; q1!c
q2 ▷ MS<q2>; p!c
```

merge sort revisited

choreography

```
MS(p) = if p.is_small then 0
        else p start q1,q2; p.split1 -> q1; p.split2 -> q2;
              MS<q1>; MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

execution

```
MS<q11>; MS<q12>; q11.c -> q1; q12.c -> q1.merge
MS<q2>; q1.c -> p; q2.c -> p.merge
```

projection

```
MS(p) = if is_small then 0
        else start (q1 ▷ p?id; MS<q1>; p!c);
              start (q2 ▷ p?id; MS<q2>; p!c);
              q1!split1; q2!split2; q1?id; q2?merge
```

execution

```
p ▷ q1?id; q2?merge
q1 ▷ q11?id; q12?merge; p!c
q11 ▷ MS<q11>; q1!c
q12 ▷ MS<q12>; q1!c
q2 ▷ MS<q2>; p!c
```

gaussian elimination

goal given a system of linear equations in matrix form

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

transform it into an equivalent one

$$U\vec{x} = \vec{b}'$$

where U is upper triangular

gaussian elimination

goal given a system of linear equations in matrix form

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

transform it into an equivalent one

$$U\vec{x} = \vec{b}'$$

where U is upper triangular

algorithm gauss elimination (naive)

- 1 divide the first row of A^+ by a_{11}
- 2 subtract $a_{k1} \times A_1^+$ from A_k^+
- 3 ignore the first row and column of A^+ and repeat
~~~  $A^+$  is the extended matrix  $\left[ A | \vec{b} \right]$

## *thoughts on implementation*

*main idea*

each entry is stored in one process

## *thoughts on implementation*

### *main idea*

- each entry is stored in one process
- the size of the matrix changes
- need procedures with variable number of arguments

## *thoughts on implementation*

### *main idea*

- each entry is stored in one process
- the size of the matrix changes
- need procedures with variable number of arguments

### *extension*

we need to enrich the choreography language

- procedures can have *lists* as arguments
- lists are uniform in type
- lists are uniform in connections
- lists can only be used as arguments to pure functions in procedure calls
- calling a procedure with an empty list terminates

## *a naive implementation*

### *implementation*

```
gauss(A) =      solve(fst_row(A)); elim(fst_row(A),rest(A));
               gauss(minor(A))

solve(A) =      divide_all(hd(A),tl(A)); set1(hd(A))

divide_all(a,A) = divide(a,hd(A)); divide_all(a,tl(A))
divide(a,b) =   a.c → b.div

elim(A,B) =      elim_row(A,fst_row(B)); elim(A,rest(B))
elimrow(A,B) =  elim_all(tl(A),hd(B),tl(B)); set0(hd(B))
elimall(A,m,B) = elim1(hd(A),m,hd(B)); elimall(tl(A),m,tl(B))
elim1(a,m,b) =  b start x; b:x ↔ a; b:x ↔ m;
                   a.c → x.id; m.c → x.mult; x.c → b.minus

set0(a) =      a start p; p.0 → a.id
set1(a) =      a start p; p.1 → a.id
```

## *a naive implementation*

### *implementation*

```
gauss(A) =      solve(fst_row(A)); elim(fst_row(A),rest(A));
               gauss(minor(A))

solve(A) =      divide_all(hd(A),tl(A)); set1(hd(A))

divide_all(a,A) = divide(a,hd(A)); divide_all(a,tl(A))
divide(a,b) =   a.c → b.div

elim(A,B) =      elim_row(A,fst_row(B)); elim(A,rest(B))
elim_row(A,B) =   elim_all(tl(A),hd(B),tl(B)); set0(hd(B))
elim_all(A,m,B) = elim1(hd(A),m,hd(B)); elim_all(tl(A),m,tl(B))
elim1(a,m,b) =  b start x; b:x ↔ a; b:x ↔ m;
                  a.c → x.id; m.c → x.mult; x.c → b.minus

set0(a) =      a start p; p.0 → a.id
set1(a) =      a start p; p.1 → a.id
```

- standard programming techniques
- implicit parallelism yields concurrent semantics
- pipelined communication and computation

## *next step: graphs*

*goal*

- implement standard graph algorithms
  - broadcast to all
  - minimum spanning tree
  - vertex coloring

## *next step: graphs*

### *goal*

- implement standard graph algorithms
  - broadcast to all
  - minimum spanning tree
  - vertex coloring

### *problem*

- no primitives to access connections at runtime
- requires encoding the graph in the algorithm
- does not allow for changes in the network
- (see example in paper for more details)

## *next step: graphs*

### *goal*

- implement standard graph algorithms
  - broadcast to all
  - minimum spanning tree
  - vertex coloring

### *problem*

- no primitives to access connections at runtime
- requires encoding the graph in the algorithm
- does not allow for changes in the network
- (see example in paper for more details)

### *solution?*

further extend communication primitives  
~~ requires drastic changes to the theory  
(type system, underlying calculus, endpoint projection)

# *outline*

*choreographic  
programming*

*procedural  
choreographies*

*choreographies  
in practice*

*conclusions*

## *conclusions*

- concurrent algorithms in choreographies
- mergesort and quicksort
- gaussian elimination
- fast fourier transform
- broadcasting on graphs
  
- implicit parallelism often yields “good” behaviour
  
- next step: dynamically accessing the network structure

thank you!